

UNITED STATES OCCUPY 22 AM 10: 52

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR AGENCY-REGION VII
REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

IN THE MATTER OF)
FRM CHEM, INC.,)) DOCKET NO. FIFRA-07-2008-0035
RESPONDENT)

PREHEARING ORDER

As you previously have been notified, I have been designated by the August 18, 2009 Order of the Chief Administrative Law Judge to preside in the above captioned matter. This proceeding arises under the authority of Section 14 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA"), 7 U.S.C. § 1361, and is governed by the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits (the "Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.1-32. The parties are advised to familiarize themselves with both the applicable statute(s) and the Rules of Practice.

United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") policy, found in the Rules of Practice at Section 22.18(b), 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b), encourages settlement of a proceeding without the necessity of a formal hearing. The benefits of a negotiated settlement may far outweigh the uncertainty, time, and expense associated with a litigated proceeding. There is no indication in the file that settlement discussions have been held in this matter. 2/

^{1/} Complainant's Motion For Leave to Amend the Complaint and Consolidate Matters is pending. At this time, two of the cases Complainant wishes to consolidate are not on file in the Office of Administrative Law Judges.

^{2/} Complainant and Respondent declined to participate in the Alternate Dispute Resolution ("ADR") process offered by this office. In an order dated August 26, 2009, the parties were (continued...)

In the event that the parties have failed to reach a settlement, they shall strictly comply with the requirements of this order and prepare for a hearing. The parties are advised that extensions of time will not be granted absent a showing of good cause. The pursuit of settlement negotiations or an averment that a settlement in principle has been reached will not constitute good cause for failure to comply with the prehearing requirements or to meet the schedule set forth in this Prehearing Order. Of course, the parties are encouraged to initiate or continue to engage in settlement discussions during and after preparation of their prehearing exchange.

The following requirements of this Order concerning prehearing exchange information are authorized by Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.19(a). As such, it is directed that the following prehearing exchange takes place:

- 1. Each party shall submit:
 - (a) the names of any expert or other witnesses it intends to call at the hearing, together with a brief narrative summary of each witness' expected testimony, or a statement that no witnesses will be called; and
 - (b) copies of all documents and exhibits which each party intends to introduce into evidence at the hearing. The exhibits should include a curriculum vitae or resume for each proposed expert witness. If photographs are submitted, the photographs must be actual unretouched photographs. The documents and exhibits shall be identified as "Complainant's" or "Respondent's" exhibit, as appropriate, and numbered with Arabic numerals (e.g., "Complainant's Exhibit 1"); and

^{2/(...}continued)

directed to hold a settlement conference on this matter on or before September 29, 2009, to attempt to reach an amicable resolution of this matter. See Section 22.4(c)(8) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.4(c)(8). Complainant was directed to file a status report regarding such conference and the status of settlement on or before October 8, 2009. Complainant has failed to submit a report as directed in the September 29, 2009 Order detailing an attempt to hold a settlement conference.

a statement expressing its view as to the place for the hearing and the estimated amount of time needed to present its direct case. In the Complainant alleges that Respondent is a corporation located in Washington, Missouri.3/ Complaint at \P 4. In accordance with the Supplemental Rules Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties Under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 40 C.F.R. § 22.35(b), the hearing shall be held in the county, parish, or incorporated city of the residence of the Respondent, unless otherwise agreed in writing by all parties. Respondent should state whether it wishes the hearing to be held in Washington, Missouri, and/or otherwise the parties should designate the city as the place of hearing of this matter.

See Sections 22.19(a),(b),(d) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.19(a),(b),(d); see also Section 22.21(d) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.21(d).

- 2. Complainant shall submit a statement explaining in detail how the proposed penalty was determined, including a description of how the specific provisions of any Agency penalty or enforcement policies and/or quidelines were applied in calculating the penalty.
- 3. Respondent shall submit a statement explaining why the proposed penalty should be reduced or eliminated. If Respondent intends to take the position that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty or that payment will have an adverse effect on its ability to continue to do business, Respondent shall furnish supporting documentation such as certified copies of financial statements or tax returns.
- 4. Complainant shall submit a statement regarding whether the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 ("PRA"), 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501 et seq., applies to this proceeding, whether there is a current Office of Management and Budget control number involved herein and whether the provisions of Section 3512 of the PRA are applicable in this case.

^{3/} Complainant's proposed amended Complaint alleges that Respondent's address is in Union, Missouri.

See Section 22.19(a)(3) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.19(a)(3).

The prehearing exchanges delineated above shall be filed in seriatim manner, according to the following schedule:

December 7, 2009 - Complainant's Initial Prehearing Exchange

January 8, 2010 - Respondent's Prehearing Exchange, including any direct and/or rebuttal evidence

January 22, 2010 - Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange (if necessary)

If the parties cannot settle with a Consent Agreement and Final Order, a hearing will be held in accordance with Section 556 of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 556. Section 556(d) of the APA provides that a party is entitled to present its case or defense by oral or documentary evidence, to submit rebuttal evidence, and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Thus, Respondent has the right to defend itself against Complainant's charges by way of direct evidence, rebuttal evidence, or through cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses. Respondent is entitled to elect any or all three means to pursue its defense. If Respondent elects only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses and to forgo the presentation of direct and/or rebuttal evidence, that Respondent shall serve a statement to that effect on or before the date for filing its prehearing exchange. Each party is hereby reminded that failure to comply with the prehearing exchange requirements set forth herein, including a Respondent's statement of election only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses, can result in the entry of a default See Section 22.17 of the judgment against the defaulting party. Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.17.

The original and one copy of all pleadings, statements and documents (with any attachments) required or permitted to be filed in this Order (including a ratified Consent Agreement and Final Order) shall be filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk, and copies (with any attachments) shall be sent to the undersigned and all other parties. The parties are advised that E-mail correspondence with the Administrative Law Judge is not

authorized. See Section 22.5(a) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.5(a).

The prehearing exchange information required by this Order to be sent to the Presiding Judge, as well as any other further pleadings, shall be addressed as follows:

> Judge Barbara A. Gunning Office of Administrative Law Judges U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Mail Code 1900L 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460-2001

Hand-delivered packages transported by Federal Express or another delivery service which x-rays their packages as part of their routine security procedures, may be delivered directly to the Offices of the Administrative Law Judges at 1099 14th Street, NW, Suite 350, Washington, DC 20005.

Telephone contact may be made with my legal staff assistant, Mary Angeles at (202) 564-6281. The facsimile number is (202) 565-0044.

Barbara A. Gunning Administrative Law Judge

Dated: October 20, 2009
Washington, DC

In the Matter of FRM Chem, Inc., Respondent. Docket No. FIFRA-07-2008-0035

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Prehearing Order, dated October 20, 2009, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below.

Mary Angeles

Legal Staff Assistant

Original and One Copy by Pouch Mail to:

Kathy Robinson Regional Hearing Clerk ORC, U.S. EPA, Region VII 901 North 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

Copy by Pouch Mail to:

Chris R. Dudding, Esq. Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. EPA / Region VII 901 North 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101

Copy by Certified and Regular Mail to:

Raymond E. Kastendieck Registered Agent for FRM Chem, Inc. P.O. Box 1656 Washington, MO 63090

Raymond E. Kastendieck 3007 Brighton Lane Washington, MO 63090

Dated: October 21, 2009 Washington, D.C.

US Postal Servicem

CERTIFIED WAIL RECEIPT

(Pomestic Mall Only: No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit out website at www.ausps.com.

Postage \$, 6/2/

Gentified Fee Postmark
Here

Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)

Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)

Total Postage & Fees \$, 76 9 - 6 33

Sent To If E Kan Juntain.

Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No.

City, State, 2IP+4 Wash 170 U309 C

ESTampleODA(Int) 2002 Secrify pix of Americal Insurance Coverage Provided)

	US Postalls	Service.	n in the second		
2455	CERTIFIED (Domeshowin)	THE COMMENT OF STREET			d)
113	For delivery inform	allonivisitot	izwebsite a	l www.usps.com	
59.4	OFF		AL.	US E	
m	Postage	\$		Vite:	kine)
002	Certified Fee			NILC.	2017
	Return Receipt Fee (Endersement Required)			Postmark Here 07	1003
100	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)			0035	1.0
	Total Postage & Fees	\$		PHO	107
500	Seni To R, E,) Streel, Api. No.; R.Z.	Carta	relug		
<u>~</u>	or PO Box No.	811	Can B		
	City, State, ZIP+4	work.	Mo 1	1090	
	PS Form 1800, June 200	and the second		Strolnövere follovurist	ocions.